# Preference-based Evolutionary Direct Policy Search

# Róbert Busa-Fekete<sup>1,2</sup> Balázs Szörényi<sup>2,3</sup> Paul Weng<sup>4</sup> Weiwei Cheng<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Computational Intelligence Group, Philipps University Marburg, Marburg, Germany <sup>2</sup>Research Group on AI, Hungarian Acad. Sci. and Univ. of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary <sup>3</sup>INRIA Lille - Nord Europe, SequeL project, Villeneuve d'Ascq, France <sup>4</sup>Laboratory of Computer Science of Paris 6, University Pierre and Marie Curie, Paris, France

### Introduction

- We propose an efficient policy search method for preference-based reinforcement learning
- Evolutionary Direct Policy Search (EDPS) [1]
- Assume a parametric policy space

$$\Pi = \{\pi_{\Theta} \, | \, \Theta \in \mathbb{R}^p\}$$

► Target function is the *expected total reward* 

$$ho_{\pi} = \mathop{\mathbb{E}}\limits_{\mathrm{h}\sim\mathrm{P}_{\pi}} \left[V(\mathrm{h})
ight]$$

that is estimated based on **rollouts** 

► Optimize it by using an *Evolution strategy* (ES), such as CMA-ES [2]

## Preference-based EDPS

Eyke Hüllermeier<sup>1</sup>

- ► Evolution Strategies only need a ranking over the candidate solutions to update the parameters of F(·) (distribution over the search space)
   ► Let's race based on preferences!! ⇒ Preference-based EDPS
- ► Resolving the preferential cycles by using *Copeland relation*:

 $X_i \ll_C X_j \Leftrightarrow d_i < d_j, ext{ where } d_i = \#\{k: X_k \ll X_i, X_k \in \mathcal{X}\}$ 

► We solve the following optimization task with high probability

$$\sum_{i\in I}\sum_{j
eq i}\mathbb{I}\{X_j\ll X_i\}\ \longrightarrow\ \max_{I\subseteq [K]:\ |I|=K}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  We need an *efficient estimator* of  $S(X_i,X_j)=\mathrm{P}(X_i\prec X_j)$ 



- If the number of rollouts is too large, the learning process gets slow
- ► If the number of rollouts is too small, the ranking over the offsprings is not reliable enough
- Adaptive control of the number of rollouts using racing algorithms

Algorithm 1 EDPS  $(\mathcal{M}, \mu, \lambda, n_{\max}, \delta)$ 

Initialization: select an initial parameter vector  $\Omega^{(0)}$  and an initial set of candidate solutions  $\Theta_1^{(0)}, \ldots, \Theta_\mu^{(0)}$ ,  $\sigma^{(0)}$  is the identity permutation t = 0

repeat

$$\begin{split} t &= t + 1 \\ \text{for } \ell = 1, \dots, \lambda \text{ do } & \triangleright \text{ Sample new solutions} \\ \Theta_{\ell}^{(t)} &\sim F(\Omega^{(t-1)}, \Theta_{\sigma^{(t-1)}(1)}^{(t-1)}, \dots, \Theta_{\sigma^{(t-1)}(\mu)}^{(t-1)}) \\ \text{end for } \\ \sigma^{(t)} &= \text{Racing} \left( \mathcal{M}, \pi_{\Theta_{1}^{(t)}}, \dots, \pi_{\Theta_{\lambda}^{(t)}}, \mu, n_{\max}, \delta \right) \\ \Omega^{(t)} &= \text{Update}(\Omega^{(t-1)}, \Theta_{\sigma^{(t)}(1)}^{(t)}, \dots, \Theta_{\sigma^{(t)}(\mu)}^{(t)}) \\ \text{until Stopping criterion fulfilled} \\ \text{Return } \pi_{\Theta_{1}^{(t)}} \end{split}$$

#### Value-based Racing Algorithm [3]

 $igstarrow X_1, \ldots, X_K$  are random variables with unknown distribution functions

• A two-sample U-statistic called the Mann-Whitney U-statistic  $\hat{s}_{i,j} = \hat{S}(X_i, X_j) = \\ = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \sum_{\ell'=1}^n \left[ \mathbb{I}\{x_i^{\ell} \prec x_j^{\ell'}\} + \frac{1}{2} [\mathbb{I}\{x_i^{\ell} \sim x_j^{\ell'}\} + \mathbb{I}\{x_i^{\ell} \perp x_j^{\ell'}\}] \right] \\ \text{where } X_i = \{x_i^1, \dots, x_i^n\} \sim X_i \text{ and } X_j = \{x_j^1, \dots, x_j^n\} \sim X_j \\ \text{• Hoeffding, 1963, §5b: For any } \epsilon > 0, \text{ using the notations introduced above,} \\ P\left(\left| \hat{S}(X, Y) - S(X, Y) \right| \ge \epsilon \right) \le 2 \exp(-2n\epsilon^2) .$ 

#### Preference-based Racing Algorithm

1. Input:  $X_1, \ldots, X_K, \kappa, n_{\max}, \delta$ 2. Iteratively sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_K$ 3. Calculate  $\hat{s}_{i,j}$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq K$ 4. and their confidence intervals as  $[\hat{s}_{i,j} - c_{i,j}, \hat{s}_{i,j} + c_{i,j}]$  where

$$c_{i,j} = \sqrt{rac{1}{2n} \log rac{2 oldsymbol{K}^2 n_{ ext{max}}}{\delta}}$$

5. When can we stop sampling an option? Number of options that are beaten by *i* so far:  $z_i = |\{j : u_{i,j} < 1/2, j \neq i\}|$ Number of options that beat *i* so far:  $o_i = |\{j : \ell_{i,j} > 1/2, j \neq i\}|$   $C = \{i : K - \kappa < |\{j : K - z_j < o_i\}|\}$   $D = \{i : \kappa < |\{j : K - o_j < z_i\}|\}$ 6. If  $(i, j \in C \cup D) \lor (1/2 \notin [\ell_{i,j}, u_{i,j}])$  then do not update  $\hat{s}_{i,j}$  any more

 $P_{X_1}, \ldots, P_{X_K}$  and finite expected values  $\mu_i = \int x dP_{X_i}(x)$ • We solve the following optimization task with high probability

 $\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \neq i} \mathbb{I}\{\mu_j < \mu_i\} \longrightarrow \max_{I \subseteq [K]: |I| = \kappa}$   $\bullet \text{ Hoeffding bound: } \mu_i \in \left[\widehat{\mu}_i - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n_i} \log \frac{2}{\delta}}, \widehat{\mu}_i + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n_i} \log \frac{2}{\delta}}\right]$ with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ 



#### Preference-based Reinforcement Learning [4,5]

Rollout: generating a history h ∈ H<sup>(T)</sup> by following a policy π for a given MDP M = (S, A, P, r)
Assumption: preference relation ≺ on H<sup>(T)</sup>
Prerequisite: "lifting" of the preference relation ≺ on H<sup>(T)</sup> to a preference relation ≪ on the space of policies Π
Each policy generates a distribution over the histories H<sup>(T)</sup>
We can associate policies with random variables X
Decision model (≪): X ≪ Y if and only if P(Y ≺ X) < P(X ≺ Y)</li>

#### Medical Experiments

- Medical treatment design for cancer clinical trials
- State s = (S, X) describes the health condition of the patient: S is the tumor size and X the level of toxicity
- $\blacktriangleright$  Action is the dosage level  $a \in [0,1]$
- A history h represents a treatment of a virtual patient
- 1.  $\mathbf{h'} \preceq \mathbf{h}$  if the patient survives in  $\mathbf{h}$  but not in  $\mathbf{h'}$ , and both histories are incomparable  $(\mathbf{h'} \perp \mathbf{h})$  if the patient does neither survive in  $\mathbf{h'}$  nor in  $\mathbf{h}$ .
- 2. Otherwise, preference depends on the worst wellness of the patient and the final tumor size:
- $\mathrm{h}' \preceq \mathrm{h}$  if (and only if)  $C_X \leq C'_X$  and  $C_S \leq C'_S$  where  $C_X$  and  $C'_X$  denote the
- maximal toxicity during the whole treatment
- 3. Pareto dominance



 $\blacktriangleright$  Preferential cycles:  $X_1 \ll X_2$ ,  $X_2 \ll X_3$ ,  $X_3 \ll X_1$ 

Illustration of patient status under different treatment policies. On the x-axis is the tumor size after 6 (a) and 12 (b) months, on the y-axis the highest toxicity during the treatment. The death rates are shown in parentheses at the upper right corner.

References

 Heidrich-Meisner, V., Igel, C.: Hoeffding and Bernstein races for selecting policies in evolutionary direct policy search. ICML, pp. 401–408 (2009)
 Hansen, N., Kern, S.: Evaluating the CMA evolution strategy on multimodal test functions. PPSN VIII, pp. 282–291 (2004)
 Maron, O., Moore, A.: Hoeffding races: accelerating model selection search for classification and function approximation. NIPS, pp. 59–66 (1994)
 Fürnkranz, J., Hüllermeier, E., Cheng, W., Park, S.: Preference-based reinforcement learning: a formal framework and a policy iteration algorithm. Machine Learning 89(1-2), 123–156 (2012)
 Akrour, R., Schoenauer, M., Sebag, M.: Preference-based policy learning. ECML/PKDD, pp. 12–27 (2011)